ET-DSP™ Technology

(Electro-Thermal Dynamic Stripping Process™)

THE 1ST FULL-SCALE REFERENCE IN EUROPE: A FORMER CREOSOTE FACILITY

CHAPTER 2 : THE RESULTS

intersol 2016

MARCH 17, 2016

GUILLAUME GARCIA
FRANCE & SWITZERLAND MANAGER

1

SUMMARY

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

In Situ THERMAL

ELECTRO-THERMAL DYNAMIC STRIPPING PROCESS™
Patent - Description - Equipment



6

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

HOW HEATING IMPROVES MOBILITY AND EXTRACTION OF ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS ?

VAPORIZATION
STRIPPING / VAPOR RECOVERY
Vapor Pressure / Henry 's constant

SOLUBILITY
DISSOLVED PHASE RECOVERY

VISCOSITY
NAPL MOBILITY IMPROVEMENT

OTHER EFFECTS
INCREASED **PERMEABILITY**
THERMAL **HYDROLYSIS**
DECREASED **SORPTION BONDS :**
- AQUEOUS PHASE SORPTION : reduction by a factor of 2,2 from 20 to 90°C (Source: Heron)
- VAPOR PHASE SORPTION : reduction order of magnitude (TCE) from 20 to 90°C (Source: Heron)

7

euremtech In Situ Specialists

PHYSICAL PROCESSES

Component property	Oil based LNAPL	Chlorinated solvents	Creosote	Coal tar	PCB	Comment
Vapor pressure increase factor	20-80	20-100	20-300	20-300	2000	Abundance of data in literature
Solubility increase factor	2-100?	1.5-3	10-1000	10-1000	10-1000	Chlorinated solvent less affected than larger hydrocarbons
Henry's constant increase factors		10-20	0-10	0-10	0-10	Data absent for most compounds, some decrease?
Viscosity reduction factor	2 to 100+	1.3-3	5-10	20-100+	3-100	The higher initial viscosity, the more reduction
Interfacial tension reduction factor	<2	<2	2-5	1-5	<5	Typically not dramatic effect (less than factor 2)
Density reduction (%)	10-20	10-20	10-20	10-20	10-20	Note that DNAPL may become LNAPL
K_d (reduction factor)	?	1-10	5-100	5-100	NA	Estimates based on limited data

8

BW

euremtech In Situ Specialists

INCREASED VAPORIZATION

DISSOLVED
& NAPL CONTAMINANTS
VAPORIZATION
=
FAST EXTRACTION



9


euremtech
In Situ Specialists
HEAT AND MASS TRANSFER
AFTER "HEATING"
Upward force associated with buoyancy
Force associated with induced pressure gradients now must fall within the radius of capture (thermal)
A MOLECULE OF HYDROCARBON VAPOUR
Force associated with induced pressure gradients that fall within the radius of influence (venting)
10


euremtech
In Situ Specialists
FLOW DYNAMICS
RO Influence
q= 30 [scfm]
RO Capture
$\frac{k_H}{k_v} = 5$
Extraction Well
Heated Zone
$v_B = \frac{q}{2\pi rh}$
Radius of capture < influence
5 meters
Vacuum extraction must catch vapour before its condensation in the cool zone
11


BW
euremtech
In Situ Specialists
CREATE
PERMEABILITY
HEATING EFFECT – VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS
MICRO-FRACTURING
IN THE CLAY
Electrical current preferentially in clayey lithology
Vaporization of organic contaminants : GAS EXPANSION – millions of micro-holes
Holes join each others : micro-fractures
PERMEABLE CLAY
RELEASE CONTAMINANT
WITHIN VAPOUR PHASE BLOWING OUT
RESULT OBSERVATIONS AFTER ET-DSP™ IN CLAY
12


euremtech
In Situ Specialists
MOBILITY IMPROVEMENT
PHYSICAL DISPLACEMENT OF HIGH BOILING POINT NAPL'S ENHANCED WITH HEATING
VISCOSITY REDUCTIONS OF SEVERAL ORDERS OF MAGNITUDE ARE POSSIBLE
Fuel oil, 14 gravity
Viscosity (cp)
400
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
20
60
100
140
Temperature (°C)
13

INCREASED SOLUBILITY

NAPHTHALENE
9 times more soluble by passing from 20°C to 80°C
(26,6 mg/l to 252 mg/l)

Naphthalene



BENZENE
55% increase in solubility from 20°C to 80°C
(1750 mg/l to 2720 mg/l)

Benzene



14

DON'T CONFUSE!!

ELECTRICAL RESISTANCE HEATING SCOPE OF APPLICATION

THERMAL TECHNOLOGIES
FOR SOURCE CONTAMINATION

ET-DSP™ (ADVANCED ERH)

≠

IS THERMAL DESORPTION BY
CONDUCTIVE HEATING (TCH)

- ET-DSP™ is well adapted for **VADOSE** & **SATURATED** zones
- Temperature max **100 °C**
- Average required energy **250 kWh/m³**
- All **organic contaminants** : TPHs, PAH, HVOC, Creosote,…
- All geologies with electrical resistivity < **500 Ω.m**
- Ground water velocity **< 0,3 m/day**

15

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

PATENT

… MAKES THE DIFFERENCE

- ET-DSP™ avoid ends overheating by circulating cooled water into electrode
- Hot water + steam (10%) are injected into the aquifer : **HEATING BY CONVECTION**



Conduction		Electrical
$\vec{\nabla}\cdot(\lambda\vec{\nabla}T)+$		$\Gamma\frac{I^2}{\sigma_z}\frac{1}{r^2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho C_t T$

16

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

DESCRIPTION

OVERALL SYSTEM DESCRIPTION



- A **THREE-PHASE** ELECTRICAL TECHNOLOGY
- USE ALL OF **HEATING ENERGY TRANSFER VECTORS** :
  - Electrical resistivity
  - Conduction
  - Convection (ET-DSP™ patent)
- **MULTIPHASE EXTRACTION SYSTEM** EXTRACTS RELEASED CONTAMINANTS

18

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

In Situ THERMAL

1ST ET-DSP™ IN EUROPE FORMER CREOSOTE SITE

SITE CONDITIONS - BENCH TEST - NUMERICAL SIMULATION



20

PROJECT APPROACH

Client & Consultant

Investigation:

- Site characterization
- Contamination delineation
- Treatment goals
- Needs, constraints, …

1 **FEASIBILITY** Study
PREDESIGN & BUDGET

2 **BENCH TEST**
Electrical Resistivity profile of Geology
Optional : Full Bench Test

3 **NUMERICAL SIMULATION**

Final DESIGN (guaranteed)

- Electrodes / X-wells / Sensor wells GRID
- Energy requirement, Balance of extracted/injected water flow,
- Duration to reach the max temperature
- Contaminant mass removal simulation : remediation duration

4 **« FULL SCALE »**
Remediation

21

FINANCIAL CONCEPT : PROJECT COST / MASS RECOVERY

Stay on the Curve



22

FORMER CREOSOTE FACILITY

SITE DESCRIPTION

SITE DESCRIPTION

- HISTORICAL POLLUTION : ACTIVITIES FROM 1845-1984
- INHERITOR OF REMEDIATION DUTY: BELGACOM NV (EX-PROXIMUS)
- CULTURAL HERITAGE : MONUMENT CLASSIFIED BUILDING
- COMPLEX CONTAMINANT : CREOSOTE (MIX OF CHEMICALS) - TPH
- UNPREDICTABLE DISTRIBUTION PATTERN IN THE SOIL : HETEROGENEOUS GEOLOGIES
- L&DNAPL, ABOVE AND BELOW GROUNDWATER LEVEL : SOURCE CONTAMINATION ZONE





TECHNICAL DATA

- AREA NEED TO BE TREATED: CA. 2.115 M^2
- VOLUME GROUNDWATER: CA. 20.600 M^3
- CONTAMINATED GROUND WATER THICKNESS : 0,5 M TO 15 M BGS
- ESTIMATED CONTAMINANT MASS: CA. 30,000 KG CREOSOTE
- COMPLEX GEOLOGY

0-2.0 m BGS	(Fine) sand	AQUIFER 1
2.0-4.8 m BGS	Clay	AQUITARD
4.8-6.6 m BGS	Peat	AQUITARD
6.0-7.0 m BGS	Clay	AQUITARD
7.0-15.0 m BGS	(Fine) sand	AQUIFER 2
15.0-17.2 m BGS	Silt	SEMI-AQUITARD
17.2-17.7 m BGS	Clay	SEMI-AQUITARD
17.7-24 m BGS	Coarse sand	AQUIFER 3
>24 m BGS	Clay	

23

ET-DSP™ LABORATORY TEST

RESULTS

ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY PROFILE

- Peat lithology has a real different resistivity
- Overall is pretty conductive (<16 ohm.m)
- Stacked electrodes requirement



Figure 1.7: Resistivity profile for the site.

PERFORMANCE RESULTS

- Volatile compounds (BTEX , naphthalene, …) > 99 % reduction
- Non-volatiles compounds > 50 % reduction
- Main mass contaminant recovery : pure phase (NAPL) and water (dissolved contamination)



24

EXTRA INVESTIGATIONS

ADDITIONAL DATA COLLECTION

EXTENSIVE KNOWLEDGE UPSTREAM TO INCREASE THE SUCCESS PROBABILITY TEST OUT ANY METHOD BEFORE FULL SCALE !!

INVESTIGATIONS

- DRILLING METHOD TESTING : **COST & EFFICIENCY**
  - Jet-drilling or Hollow-auger ?
  - Slug tests : check out the best drilling method
- DETERMINE AQUIFER CHARACTERISTICS
- ADDITIONAL SOIL & GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

RESULTS

- Jet-drilling is faster than H-S-Auger (2 times)
- Jet-drilling doesn't bring up contaminated soil (no contact risk)
- H-A is a more reliable installation method : with Jet-D the hole can collapse before being equipped
- Sludge tests demonstrated :
  - H-A probably clogs part of the borehole edge
  - Best permeability result with Jet-D n°3002
  - Jet-D must be implement by a experimented team

Monitoring well		Date	Kd (m/day)
3000	H-A	21/01/2014	0,315
3001	J-D	21/01/2014	0,011
3002	J-D	19/02/2014	2,061

DECISIONS

- Jet-Drilling Methods : electrodes & extraction wells
- Geoprobe : sensor wells (geology)

25

euremtech In Situ Specialists

EXTRA INVESTIGATIONS

ADDITIONAL DATA COLLECTION

PEAT LAYER HAS TO BE OBSERVED AS A PRIMARY ISSUE : AVOIDING DESICCATION

PERFORMING **E-CPT** INVESTIGATIONS

- EXTENSIVE PROFILING OF THE LITHOLOGY THROUGHOUT THE TREATMENT AREA
  - GET THE MOST DETAILED GEOLOGY PROFILE
  - DEEPEN THE ELECTRICAL PROFILE
- DETERMINATE THE **PEAT LAYER THICKNESS** IN A SMALL SCALE
  - SPECIAL RANGE OF RESISTIVITY
  - ELECTRODES DEPTH INSTALLATION : water injection 1,2 times more to keep peat wet all the time



COMPLEX & HETEROGENEOUS GEOLOGIES MUST BE INVESTIGATED PROPERLY

26

euremtech In Situ Specialists

BENCH TEST-LABORATORY

LIQUID TREATMENT SYSTEM DESIGN

BENCH TESTING OF LIQUID EFFLUENT

- **TEMPERATURE EFFECTS** ON EXTRACTED LIQUIDS
- DETERMINE THE **OPTIMAL PROCESS** CONFIGURATION
- CHECK THE **EFFICIENCY** OF EVERY TREATMENT STEP :
  - AIR STRIPPING / ACTIVE SEDIMENTATION / ACTIVATED CARBON / OZONE
- BEHAVIOR OF **CREOSOTE NAPL** AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES :
  - PIPE FOULING / CRYSTALLIZATION
  - TEMPERATURE TO KEEP CREOSOTE IN A NON VISCOUS STATE – AVOID COATING



THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF THE CONTAMINANT

- BETTER TREATMENT EFFICIENCY & COSTS
- MITIGATE TREATMENT SYSTEM DOWNTIMES

27

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

LIQUID EFFLUENT LABORATORY TEST
RESULTS

RESULTS & LEARNINGS

- OZONE TREATMENT : a bad efficiency on heavy compounds – affected AC performance
- NATURAL SEDIMENTATION : long (20 min) + still a lot of suspension particles + high [TPH]
- ACTIVE SEDIMENTATION : 10 ppm of cationic polymer FLOPAM EM640 (SNF supplier)
- ACTIVATED CARBON : 10-AA [3 gAC/gCOD] (DESOTEC)

N°	Technologies & combinations		Summary of Bench test Results				
	Symbols	Main bench test specifications	Main Results	Performance rates		Comments	Pictures
BENCH TEST - Phase A (February 2014) : water treatment technologies effectiveness							
A.1	NSed	10 minutes of sedimentation	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
A.2	NSed	30 minutes of sedimentation				[illegible]	
A.3	NSed	60 minutes of sedimentation				[illegible]	
A.4	NSed → Strip	20 min of Sedimentation [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]	
A.5	ASed	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
A.6	NSed → AC-AA	20 min of Sedimentation [AC] = 1 gAC/gCOD(treated)	[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
		20 min of Sedimentation [AC] = 2 gAC/gCOD(treated)		[illegible]			
		20 min of Sedimentation [AC] = 3 gAC/gCOD(treated)		[illegible]			
		20 min of Sedimentation [AC] = 4 gAC/gCOD(treated)		[illegible]			
A.7	NSed → AC-10	20 min of Sedimentation [AC] = 2 gAC/gCOD(treated)		[illegible]			
A.8	NSed → AC-CO	20 min of Sedimentation [AC] = 2 gAC/gCOD(treated)		[illegible]			

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

MPE & TREATMENT SYSTEM DESIGN

VAPOUR & LIQUID TREATMENT FLOW SHEET



PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM & PIPING & INSTRUMENTATION DIAGRAM WERE DONE BASED ON THOSE LAB TESTS + MK ENVIRONMENTAL EXPERIENCES

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

NUMERICAL MODELING

A KNOW-HOW OF OVER 15 YEARS
NO PLACE FOR LUCKINESS









Figure 1.6: Vertical extent of the Treatment Volume.

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

NUMERICAL MODELING

A KNOW-HOW OF OVER 15 YEARS
NO PLACE FOR LUCKINESS

KEY NUMBERS OF DESIGN

- 170 electrodes at 84 locations
- Target temperature: ~100°C
- Time to reach target temperature: 95 to 105 days
- Duration of the active remediation work: 180 days
- Average power per electrode: 6,09 kW
- Total simulated energy requirement per m^3 of soil : 217 kWh/m^3
- Thermal insulation « vapour cap » R value >5
- 325 temperature sensors at 25 locations
- 117 vertical and 38 horizontal multi-phase extraction wells
- Total Liquid extraction flow rate: 70 l/min
- Vapour extraction flow rate per filter: 10,4 m^3/h
- Total water injection flow rate for convection heating process : 66,8 l/min
- Injection flow rate in the **peat layer** is 1,2 times greater than elsewhere.





Figure A.2: Temperature distribution after 180 days of operations.

Formal offer – Fixed price : 3,5 M€ (170 €/m^3)

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

In Situ THERMAL

1ST ET-DSP™ IN EUROPE FORMER CREOSOTE SITE

FULL SCALE CONSTRUCTION – MONITORING - RESULTS





32

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

FULL SCALE OPERATIONS



CONSTRUCTION PHASE

TIME LAPSE FILM : 1 MINUTE = 6 MONTHS

- DRILLINGS — Electrodes & wells installation — 90 days
- VAPOUR CAP — 2 500 m² - 25 cm — 5 days
- PIPING – WELL HEADS — Steel 2 500 m - 117 heads — 20 days
- CABLING – ELECTRODES — Cable 8000 m – 170 electrodes — 20 days
- SYSTEMS INSTALLATION — ET-DSP & MPE Treatment — 25 days
- CHECKOUT & ACCEPTANCE PHASE — 10 days

7 MONTH DURATION

FROM JUNE, 2014 UNTIL JANUARY,2015

THE 1ST IS ALWAYS A CHALLENGE

- MANUFACTURING EQUIPMENT FOR EU
- EQUIPMENT FLEET SHIPPING FROM CANADIAN & USA
- FIND OUT THE SAME MATERIALS THAN NORTH-AMERICA
- LEARN WORKING TOGETHER
- ….

ESTIMATE OF **25 %** LESS TIME FOR THE NEXT PROJECT

33

ET-DSP™ & MPE TREATMENT

START-UP

26TH FEBRUARY, 2015

- BASELINE SUBSURFACE TEMPERATURES :
  - 0-5 M BGS : 8°C
  - 5-10 M BGS : 11°C
  - 10-15 M BGS : 10°C
- DAYS TO REACH 100 °C : 90 -105 DAYS
  - VERY CONDUCTIVE GEOLOGY (USUALLY 60 DAYS)
  - FIRST, SET-UP A HYDRAULIC & THERMAL CONTAINMENT CONTOUR
  - SETTINGS OF MPE –TREATMENT LINES : 15 DAYS
  - A TEAM 100 % IN THE FIELD
- A 1ST CASE IS ALWAYS A BIG CHALLENGE : WE WERE FOCUSED !!

34

ET-DSP™ & MPE TREATMENT - O&M

PROCESS MONITORING

POCESS MONITORING

CRUCIAL FOR PROJECT ACHIEVEMENT

- REAL TIME TEMPERATURES : 325 DIGITAM™
  - VERTICALLY SPACING : CA. 1 SENSOR / M
  - PATTERN : DISTRIBUTION THROUGH THE ENTIRE ZONE – COLDEST SPOTS ESTIMATION
  - UPLOADED ANALOGIC DATA : DATABASE
  - WIRELESS SYSTEM – SOLAR PANEL POWER FEED
- ELECTRODES SYSTEM GIVES A LOT OF REAL TIME DATA :
  - CURRENT/VOLTAGE – CONDUCTIVITY OF THE SOIL
  - POWER DENSITY MEASUREMENTS : W/M³
  - TEMPERATURE AT THE ELECTRODE LOCATION
- WEBPAGE INTERNET DATABASE -
  - CLIENT'S DIRECTLY ACCESS – IPAD
  - REMOTE SETTINGS IN REAL-TIME – MC²

https://data2.mcmillan-mcgee.com/ertbel





35

ET-DSP™ & MPE TREATMENT - O&M

RESULTS - HEATING TO 100 °C

HEATING

LONGER TIME TO REACH 100°C



- SUBSURFACE IS SIGNIFICANTLY MORE CONDUCTIVE THAN EXPECTED < 1 OHM.M (LAB TEST: < 16 OHM.M)
  This resistivity (<1 ohm.m) is very exceptional and close to the technology limit
  Mc² had to find the best settings to heat up the site as quick as possible
- TIME TO REACH 100°C HAD EXTENDED TO THE DOUBLE : 160 DAYS
- EUREMTECH-Mc² MANAGED THIS PROBLEM EVEN IF THE LONGER TIME IS MORE EXPENSIVE (FIXED PRICE) : OUR RESPONSIBILITY

36

ET-DSP™ & MPE TREATMENT - O&M

RESULTS - ENERGY CONSUMPTION

ENERGY CONSUMPTION

LOWER ENERGY CONSUMPTION THAN DESIGNED : BETTER INSULATION OF VAPOR CAP (R>10)





- SAVE UP 470 MWH : 10 % LOWER CONSUMPTION
- THE UPPER LAYER REQUIRES MUCH MORE ENERGY THAN INTERMEDIATE & DEEP ONES : THERMAL INSULATION AT GROUND SURFACE IS A PARAMOUNT ITEM (VAPOR CAP)

37

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

ET-DSP™ & MPE TREATMENT - O&M

RESULTS - CONTAMINATION MASS REMOVAL

CONTAMINATION MASS REMOVAL

28 TONS IN 9 MONTHS

Cumulative Contamination Removal Mass

OSTEND PROJECT



38

euremtech
In Situ Specialists

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

PROGRAM

SOIL & GWT SAMPLING PLAN

BEFORE HEATING:

- IN THE TREATMENT AREA
- 1 SAMPLE EVERY 500 M^3
- 11 LOCATIONS, 36 SAMPLES
- PAHS, BTEX, MINERAL OIL, PHENOLS

DURING HEATING: INTERMEDIATE CAMPAIGN

- 2,5 MONTHS AFTER START
- 2 WEEKS BEFORE STOP
- 11 LOCATIONS, 36 SAMPLES
- **HOT SAMPLING** USING GEOPROBE®
- PAHS, BTEX, MINERAL OIL, PHENOLS

AFTER HEATING:

- AFTER COOLING OF SUBSURFACE
- 5 LOCATIONS, 25 SAMPLES
- BORE LOCATION USED AS GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
- PAHS, BTEX, MINERAL OIL, PHENOLS



euremtech In Situ Specialists

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

RESULTS – SOIL CONCENTRATIONS

SOIL CONCENTRATIONS

AVERAGE REDUCTION MASS:

- BTEX : 89% **(85 to 98 %)**
- PAH : 75% **(32% to 88%)**
- NAPHTHALENE 55% **(-20 % to 97%) : BIG MASS**
- TPH : 73% **(45 to 92%)**

Rem Goals (risk based)

Standard Rem goals (Flanders)

			SW	RW	BSN	TSW
BTEX						
benzeen	mg/kg ds	0,1	0,3	1,82	2,21
tolueen	mg/kg ds	0,1	1,6	145,6	164
ethylbenzeen	mg/kg ds	0,1	0,8	140,14	184
xyleen	mg/kg ds	0,1	1,2	300,3	286
PAH						
naftaleen	mg/kg ds	0,1	0,8	288,576	235
benzo(a)pyreen	mg/kg ds	0,1	0,3	7,2	>1000000
fenantreen	mg/kg ds	0,08	30	1650	>1000000
fluoranteen	mg/kg ds	0,2	10,1	276,3936	>1000000
benzo(a)antraceen	mg/kg ds	0,06	2,5	30	>1000000
chryseen	mg/kg ds	0,15	5,1	320	>1000000
benzo(b)fluoranteen	mg/kg ds	0,2	1,1	30	>1000000
benzo(k)fluoranteen	mg/kg ds	0,2	0,6	30	>1000000
benzo(ghi)peryleen	mg/kg ds	0,1	35	4690	>1000000
indeno(123-cd)pyreen	mg/kg ds	0,1	0,55	30	>1000000
antraceen	mg/kg ds	0,1	1,5	4690	>1000000
fluoreen	mg/kg ds	0,1	19	4690	>1000000
dibenz(a,h)antraceen	mg/kg ds	0,1	0,3	3,6	>1000000
acenafteen	mg/kg ds	0,2	4,6	339,528	497,5
acenfatyleen	mg/kg ds	0,2	0,6	54,176	111
pyreen	mg/kg ds	0,1	62	3150	>1000000
TPH	mg/kg ds	50	300	2730	20000

euremtech In Situ Specialists

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

RESULTS – GROUND WATER CONCENTRATIONS

GW CONCENTRATIONS

- GOALS ARE MET REGARDLESS DEPTH IN ZONES YELLOW ; PINK NORTH ; BLUE
- STILL HIGH CONCENTRATIONS IN GREEN & SOUTH PINK ZONES

Rem Goals (risk based)

Standard Rem goals (Flanders)

		>SW	>RW	>BSN	>TSW	P6008	P6006
BTEX							
benzeen	µg/l	0,5	2	10	1085	<10	35
tolueen	µg/l	0,5	20	700	50200	*<10*	56
ethylbenzeen	µg/l	0,5	20	300	4040000	*<10*	18
xyleen	µg/l	0,5	20	500	164000	-	-
HAP						1000	
naftaleen	µg/l	0,02	20	60	6 750	1100	29000
benzo(a)pyreen	µg/l	0,02	0,4	0,7	31	<1	-
fenantreen	µg/l	0,02	20	120	4 760	280	7600
fluoranteen	µg/l	0,02	2	4	2 760	41	2100
benzo(a)antraceen	µg/l	0,02	2	7	71,5	<3,0	200
chryseen	µg/l	0,02	0,9	1,5	500000000	<3,0	250
benzo(b)fluoranteen	µg/l	0,02	0,7	1,2	74,5	<2,0	61
benzo(k)fluoranteen	µg/l	0,02	0,4	0,76	74,5	<1,0	25
benzo(ghi)peryleen	µg/l	0,02	0,1	0,26	100000000	<1,0	<10
indeno(123-cd)pyreen	µg/l	0,02	0,06	0,1	74,5	<1,0	11
antraceen	µg/l	0,02	20	75	17000000	*14*	450
fluoreen	µg/l	0,02	20	120	10100000	220	26000
dibenz(a,h)antraceen	µg/l	0,02	0,3	0,5	15,6	<1,0	<10
acenafteen	µg/l	0,02	20	180	1 190	320	3600
acenfatyleen	µg/l	0,02	20	70	690	*<5,0*	*370*
pyreen	µg/l	0,02	20	90	6 980	*18*	1200
TPH	µg/l	100	300	500	20000	2850	15600



euremtech In Situ Specialists

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

RESULTS – EXTRACTION WELLS OBSERVATIONS

X-WELLS CONTAMINATION INDICATION

X-WELLS LOCATED AT THE EDGE EAST & SOUTH HAVE ALWAYS SHOWN HIGH CONCENTRATIONS & NAPL WHILE THE OTHERS IN THE CENTER HADN'T HAD ANYMORE :

- THIS OBSERVATION DOESN'T LOOK LIKE AS A COINCIDENCE
- CONCENTRATIONS MEASURED IN THOSE WELLS HAVE LEVELLED AT HIGH VALUES
- NAPHTHALENE HAD NEVER DECREASED HERE





EXTRA INVESTIGATIONS HAD BEEN DONE OUT OF THE TREATMENT ZONE

euremtech In Situ Specialists

EXTRA INVESTIGATIONS

INITIAL TREATMENT ZONE VERSUS NAPL DELINEATION

- ABOUT 35 BORINGS
- GEOPROBE VS AUGER
- HEAT OUTSIDE : STILL 70°C DISTANCE OF 15M
- EXTENDED TREATMENT ZONE TO ABOUT 1000 M²







euremtech In Situ Specialists

EXTRA INVESTIGATIONS

INITIAL OUTSIDE INVESTIGATIONS



EUREMTECH-MC² HAD TO STOP REMEDIATION OPERATIONS

A THIRD PART EXPERTISE IS ON GOING

WAITING FOR DECISION FROM CLIENT-OVAM

euremtech In Situ Specialists

CONCLUSION

1ST ET-DSP™ IN EUROPE – FORMER CREOSOTE SITE

HIGH PERFORMANCE & RAPID REMEDIATION:

- 28 TONS OF CONTAMINATION HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THE SUBSURFACE : 90% IN LIQUID PHASE
- CLEANUP VALUES HAVE BEEN MET FOR ALMOST 60 % OF THE WHOLE TREATMENT ZONE
- 272 DAYS OF TREATMENT OPERATIONS
- ENERGY CONSUMPTION : 194 KWH/M3 (20 €/M³) – 12 % OF THE TOTAL COST

REMEDIATION OPERATIONS IN STAND-BY…

- NO DECREASE OF NAPHTHALENE CONCENTRATIONS ALONG THE EDGE OF THE TREATMENT ZONE SUPPOSES THAT SOURCE CONTAMINATION IS LIKELY PRESENT OUTSIDE
- 35 EXTRA BORINGS WERE DRILLED IN EMERGENCY
- MANY HAVE INDICATED NAPL CONTAMINATION
- **MORE THAN 1000 M² SHOULD HAVE BEEN TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT INITIALLY**

FIXED PRICE & CONTRACT WITH GUARANTEES IS DEMANDING SO WE ARE TOO:

- SITE CHARACTERIZATION ESPECIALLY CONTAMINATION DELINEATION IS A PARAMOUNT STEP FOR DESIGNING PROPERLY
- SQUEEZING THE BUDGET FOR CONTAMINATION DELINEATION = BIG RISK FOR THE CLIENT TO PAY SIGNIFICANTLY MORE AFTERWARDS
- EUREMTECH-MC² CANNOT HOLD THE CURRENT CONTRACT BECAUSE TREATMENT ZONE DELINEATION IS ONE OF THE PRIMARY CONDITION OF GUARANTEES – FIXED PRICE
- CLIENT IS OBVIOUSLY NOT SATISFIED AND FRUSTRATED DUE TO THE WRONG CONTAMINATION DELINEATION

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

« In Situ remediation is very complex..
Our people are passionate about developing the right solution for our clients »

euremtech
In Situ Specialists